



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : A61K 9/00, 31/485, 9/12		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/35417</b> (43) International Publication Date: 22 June 2000 (22.06.00)
(21) International Application Number:	PCT/NL98/00713	(81) Designated States:	AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(22) International Filing Date:	11 December 1998 (11.12.98)		
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):	PHARMACHEMIE B.V. [NL/NL]; 5, Swensweg, NL-2031 GA Haarlem (NL).		
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):	VERKERK, Volcmar [NL/NL]; 16e, 2e Nassaustraat, NL-1052 BN Amsterdam (NL); BLOM-ROSS, Marianne, Elisabeth [NL/NL]; 8, Hortensialaan, NL-2106 CH Heemstede (NL); VAN DORT, Karin [NL/NL]; 51, Zijdelveld, NL-1421 TK Uithoorn (NL); DE VOS, Dick [NL/NL]; 36, Hofbrouck-erlaan, NL-2341 LP Oegstgeest (NL).		
(74) Agent:	VAN DER KLOET-DORLEIJN, G., W., F.; Van Exter Polak & Charlouis B.V., P.O. Box 3241, NL-2280 GE Rijswijk (NL).		

(54) Title: PHARMACEUTICAL PREPARATION FOR INHALATION OF AN OPIOID

## (57) Abstract

The present invention relates to the inhalation of opioids, such as morphine, administered as a dry powder. Opioids administered as dry powder for inhalation are intended for local treatment in the respiratory tract, or for systemic treatment following absorption in the lungs and airways. Indications for opioids dry powder per inhalation include the treatment of dyspnoea and pain. Opioids as dry powder for inhalation may be administered with the use of an inhaler, which can be described as a multi-dose reservoir system such as the Cyclovent™, or a premetered single-dose system such as the Cyclohaler™, or a premetered disposable system as the Disphaler™.

## Published

With international search report.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Fialand	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Larvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Moritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	L1	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

Pharmaceutical preparation for inhalation of an opioid.

The present invention relates to the inhalation of opioids, such as morphine, administered as a dry powder.

The pharmacologic properties of opioids include effects on the central nervous system and the bowel and 5 include analgesia, drowsiness, changes in mood, respiratory depression, reduced gastrointestinal mobility, nausea, vomiting, and miosis.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Opioids are mainly used for the relief of moderate to severe pain. In addition, reports have been published on the use of opioids in the treatment of dyspnoea and neurally mediated mucus secretion.

In the treatment of pain as well as dyspnoea, opioids 15 are administered parentally and orally. Inhalation of nebulized opioids solutions has been reported to be effective with lower doses and less side effects, as compared to the parenteral and oral route of administration. As nebulizers are widely used in clinical practice, morphine 20 is frequently administered by the nebulized route. Reference is in this respect made to Farncombe M, Chater S and Gillin A, "The use of nebulized opioids for breathlessness: a chart review," Palliative Medicine 1994: 8; 306-312, and to Farncombe M and Chater S, "Clinical application of nebulized 25 opioids for treatment of dyspnoea in patients with malignant disease," Support Care Cancer 1994: 2; 184-187.

The use of solutions for inhalation administered by a nebulizer has several drawbacks, such as escape of vapour through the mask during expiration and trapping of the 30 nebulizer solution in the nebulizer. Also to inhale by means of a nebulizer takes some time, which can be aggravating for terminally ill patients.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

35 The object of the present invention is to provide a

convenient and reliable method of administering opioids. More specifically, the administration is by inhalation.

The invention therefore relates to a pharmaceutical preparation for inhalation consisting of micronized 5 particles of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10%.

For administration by inhalation, the compositions according to the invention are conveniently delivered by conventional means, e.g. in the form of a single-dose 10 premetered system such as the Cyclohaler™ using cartridges, or a premetered disposable inhaler such as the Disphaler™, or in the form of a multidose reservoir system such as the Cyclovent™.

Examples of the pharmacologically active substances as 15 described in general as opioids are morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone and codeine. Morphine is the preferred substance. The substances can be used in the form of their salts, such as alkali metal or amine salts or as acid addition salts; or as esters such as lower alkyl esters, or 20 as solvates (hydrates), to optimise the activity, efficacy and/or stability of the substance. Morphine sulphate and morphine hydrochloride are the preferred salts to be used according to the invention.

In order to optimize or to control the properties of 25 the inhalation powders it is sometimes useful to add excipients, which are pharmaceutically suitable and physiologically harmless. Examples of such excipients include monosaccharides (such as glucose and arabinose); disaccharides (such as lactose, saccharose and maltose); 30 polysaccharides (such as dextrans); polyalcohols (such as sorbitol, mannitol and xylitol); salts (such as sodium chloride and calcium carbonate) or mixtures of these excipients with one another. Lactose is the preferred excipient.

35

#### EXPERIMENTAL PART

For dry powder inhalation systems the patient inspiratory effort through the device is the main force delivering and aerosolizing the formulation. Upon

inspiration the agglomerates or aggregates, which are formed during processing, should break apart and present the drug as more or less discrete particles for inhalation into the lung.

5 In order to document the dispersion characteristics, as a function of the inhaled air flow rate, *in vitro* performance test with the use of a impinger are performed. The basic mechanism in this experiment is impaction and the apparatus consists of several stages. The stages represent 10 parts of the respiratory tract. In this manner the powder aerosol is characterized, in the sense of particle size distribution, on the basis of the aerodynamic behaviour of particles. The respirable fraction of a powder is defined as the mass of the particles with a diameter less than 6,8  $\mu\text{m}$ . 15 This respirable fraction is reflected in the determination of the fine particle dose (in mg) or the fine particle fraction (% relative to the delivered dose, defined as the sum of all stages of a impinger and the throat).

The above characterization of a preparation meets the 20 standards of the "Inhalanda" Monograph of the European Pharmacopoeia, as published in Pharmedropa 1996, p. 245-258.

#### EXAMPLES

##### Preparation of the mixtures

25 Morphine sulphate BP was micronized using an air jet mill (LS 100, GfM) at a pressure of 4 bar and a feed rate of 5 g/min. The particle size distribution was determined using a laser diffraction particle sizer (Malvern Mastersizer X). A mixture with lactose monohydrate was obtained by using a 30 high-shear mixer (Robot Coupe R2) during 5 minutes. The ratio of morphine sulphate:lactose in the obtained mixture was 1:17. This mixture was used to fill the cartridges for the Cyclohaler (Example 1), to fill the Cyclovent (Example 3) and to fill the Disphaler (Example 5). All dosages 35 weighted 25 mg. In addition pure micronized morphine sulphate was used to fill the cartridges for the Cyclohaler (Example 2), to fill the Cyclovent (Example 4) and to fill the Disphaler (Example 6). These dosages weighted 10 mg.

**Characterization of the aerosol formulations**

For determination of the fine particle fraction all inhalation means were characterized by using a multi-stage liquid impactor (Copley, UK) made from glass and metal 5 having four impaction stages and a filter (PA/PH/Exp. 12/T (96) 11 ANP). The nominal cut-off diameter of the stages is 13  $\mu\text{m}$ , 6.8  $\mu\text{m}$ , 3.1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 1.7  $\mu\text{m}$  at the operating air flow rate of 60  $\pm$  5 litres per minute. A total volume of 4 litres of air was applied. In the tests with the Cyclohaler, 10 10 doses were sampled. However, in the tests with the Disphaler and Cyclovent 5 doses were sampled. All stages including the filter, the throat were analyzed on morphine sulphate by using a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. The HPLC method was performed by using a Symmetry C18 15 250 x 4.6 mm ID column (Waters, Milford, Massachusetts, USA), a mobile phase of acetonitrile:water (50:50) with 0.1 M sodium lauryl sulphate and 0.04 M potassium hydrogen phosphate dissolved in water, and a UV detector set at 287 nm. All samples were dissolved in acetonitrile:water 20 (50:50). All calculations were related to morphine as a free base.

## EXAMPLE 1

Cyclohaler	
	mg morphine
throat	0,12
5 stage 1 (< 13 $\mu\text{m}$ )	0,30
stage 2 (< 6,8 $\mu\text{m}$ )	0,10
stage 3 (< 3,1 $\mu\text{m}$ )	0,24
stage 4 (< 1,7 $\mu\text{m}$ )	0,16
filter	0,04
10 fine particle dose:	0,44 mg morphine
	fine particle fraction: 46 % (= respirable fraction; < 6,8 $\mu\text{m}$ )

## 15 EXAMPLE 2

Cyclohaler	
	mg morphine
throat	0,70
20 stage 1	1,40
stage 2	0,67
stage 3	1,31
stage 4	0,73
filter	0,29
25 fine particle dose:	2,33 mg morphine
	fine particle fraction: 46 %

## EXAMPLE 3

Cyclovent	
	mg morphine
5      throat	0,20
stage 1	0,26
stage 2	0,10
stage 3	0,23
stage 4	0,17
10     filter	0,06
fine particle dose: 0,46 mg morphine	
fine particle fraction: 45 %	

## 15 EXAMPLE 4

Cyclovent	
	mg morphine
20     throat	0,55
stage 1	0,40
stage 2	0,20
stage 3	0,49
stage 4	0,59
filter	0,50
25     fine particle dose: 1,58 mg morphine	
fine particle fraction: 58 %	

## EXAMPLE 5

Disphaler	
	mg morphine
5      throat	0,23
stage 1	0,39
stage 2	0,08
stage 3	0,20
stage 4	0,12
10     filter	0,04
fine particle dose: 0,36 mg morphine	
fine particle fraction: 34 %	

## 15 EXAMPLE 6

Disphaler	
	mg morphine
20     throat	1,72
stage 1	3,99
stage 2	0,38
stage 3	0,43
stage 4	0,17
filter	0,11
25     fine particle dose: 0,71 mg morphine	
fine particle fraction: 10 %	

The formulation administered by the described means and according to the present invention shows good dispersion characteristics, as reflected by adequate fine particle doses. This indicates that various parts of the respiratory tract can be reached. Thus diseases and illnesses in these parts of the respiratory tract can be treated adequately. Furthermore, patients with poor lung function are able to 35 inhale the formulations according to the invention and administered by the described modes.

C L A I M S

1. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition suitable for inhalation consisting of micronized particles of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10%.
- 5 2. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to claim 1, wherein said opioid is selected from the group consisting of morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone and codeine.
3. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to 10 claim 1 or 2, wherein said opioid is in the form of a salt, an ester or a solvate.
4. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to claim 3, wherein said salt is an alkali metal salt, amine salt or an acid addition salt, said ester is a lower alkyl 15 ester, and said solvate is a hydrate.
5. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to any of the claims 1 to 4 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
6. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to 20 claim 5, wherein said carrier is selected from the group consisting of mono-, di- and polysaccharides; polyalcohols; salts and mixtures thereof, preferably lactose.
7. Use of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10% for the preparation of an inhalation medicament 25 for the treatment of dyspnoea and pain.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/NL 98/00713A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 A61K9/00 A61K31/485 A61K9/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 97 35562 A (WATTS PETER JAMES ; DANBIOSYST UK (GB); ILLUM LISBETH (GB)) 2 October 1997 (1997-10-02) examples 5,9 claims 8,10,18 ---	1-7
X	WO 92 14466 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM PLC) 3 September 1992 (1992-09-03) page 7, line 20 - line 32 examples 7-10 ---	1-7
X	WO 97 17948 A (EURO CELTIQUE SA ; ALFONSO MARK (US); GOLDENHEIM PAUL (US); SACKLER) 22 May 1997 (1997-05-22) page 7, line 30 - page 9, line 3 ---	1-7 -/-

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 August 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

18/08/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.O. 5818 Patenttaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Seegert, K

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/NL 98/00713

C(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 91 11179 A (NAT RES DEV) 8 August 1991 (1991-08-08) claims -----	1-7
Y	WO 98 31352 A (TROFAST JAN ;ASTRA AB (SE)) 23 July 1998 (1998-07-23) page 2, line 21 - line 30 -----	1-7

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/NL 98/00713

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9735562 A	02-10-1997	AU	2038497 A	17-10-1997
		CA	2250053 A	02-10-1997
		EP	0895473 A	10-02-1999
		GB	2325162 A	18-11-1998
		NO	984376 A	21-09-1998
WO 9214466 A	03-09-1992	AU	1227292 A	15-09-1992
WO 9717948 A	22-05-1997	AU	1060497 A	05-06-1997
		CA	2234847 A	22-05-1997
		EP	0877609 A	18-11-1998
		JP	11500148 T	06-01-1999
WO 9111179 A	08-08-1991	AT	98487 T	15-01-1994
		AU	635616 B	25-03-1993
		AU	7155991 A	21-08-1991
		CA	2049302 A	25-07-1991
		DE	69100792 D	27-01-1994
		DE	69100792 T	14-04-1994
		EP	0464171 A	08-01-1992
		GB	2240337 A,B	31-07-1991
		JP	4504427 T	06-08-1992
		PT	96567 A	15-10-1991
WO 9831352 A	23-07-1998	US	5254330 A	19-10-1993
		US	5376386 A	27-12-1994